REMARKS

The above-referenced patent application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action,

dated February 6, 2006 ("Action"), in which claims 1-12, 14-28 and 30-36 were rejected under

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Stracovsky et al. (US Patent 6,378,049 "Stracovsky")

and claims 13, 29 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Stacovsky in view of Nishikado et al. (US PGPub 2002/0052798 "Nishikado").

Current Status of Claims:

With this amendment, claims 1-37 remain pending. Applicants offer to amend claims 1-

13, 15, 16 and 18-29 and 31-37 as presented above. Additionally, Applicants have added new

claims 38-40. Support for these new claims and the amendments presented above can be found

in the original specification, claims and/or figures. No new matter has been introduced.

Claim Rejection – 35 U.S.C. § 102(b):

Claim 1, as currently amended, is as follows:

"A method comprising:

<u>determining a characteristic of a memory request</u>, based on a page management indicator associated with the memory request;

and

selectively leaving an accessed memory page open after a

memory access based, at least in part, on the characteristic of the

memory request."

Emphasis added.

Stracovsky does not describe the above elements of claim 1. Stracovsky describes a way

to determine a state of memory resources that are shared in a system. This determination is made

by a universal controller (104) that bases its determination, at least in part, on *shared resource*

Application No. 10/731,996

Examiner Iwashko Art Unit 2186

-10-

operational characteristics for those memory resources. See Col. 5, lines 39-44. Further, whether a memory page is open is an example operational characteristic that Stracovsky describes for the shared memory resource. This operational characteristic is indicated via a resource tag (310). See Col. 5, lines 35-34. First, contrary to Examiner's remarks in the Action, the characteristic is a characteristic of the shared resource (e.g., open memory page) and not of the memory request as cited above in claim 1. Second, the resource tag described by Stracovsky just indicates how a memory page was treated in the past and indicates nothing about how the memory page is treated after a memory access as cited above in claim 1. As a result, Applicants request that the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) rejection of claim 1 be withdrawn.

Independent claims 15, 20, 23 and 31 also include similar elements to those emphasized above in claim 1. Additionally, claims 2-14, 16-19, 21, 22, 24-30 and 32-37 depend from one of independent claims 1, 15, 20, 23 and 31. Thus, Applicants request that the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) rejections of independent claims 15, 20, 23 and 31 and dependent claims 2-14, 16-19, 21, 22, 24-30 and 32-37 be withdrawn.

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. § 103(a):

On page 11 of the Action, claims 13, 29 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stracovsky and further in view of Nishikado.

As mentioned above for independent claims 1, 15, 20, 23 and 31, the cited reference of Stracovsky fails to describe all the elements of these independent claims. Further, the Action does not cite Nishikado to cure any of the above stated deficiencies in Stracovsky and Applicants submit that Nishikado fails to cure any of these deficiencies. Since claims 13, 29 and 37 depend

from independent claims 1, 23 and 31, Applicants request that the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claims 13, 29 and 37 be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicants submit that claims 1-40, as currently amended, are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number 50-0221.

Respectfully submitted, Balaji Parthasarathy, et al.

Date: June 6, 2006

by: /s/Ted A. Crawford/Reg. No. 50,610/ Ted A. Crawford, Reg. No. 50,610 Patent Attorney for Assignee Intel Corporation

Intel Corporation PO Box 5326 SC4-202 Santa Clara, CA 95056-5326 Tel. (503) 712.2799

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

On: 6/5/2006

Signature

6/5/2006 Data